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Aim

- To investigate the effects of changing external boundary conditions and operating conditions (evaporating temperature, pump speed and expander load) on
  - ORC thermal efficiency
  - Expander isentropic efficiency
- Complement modelling efforts by providing expander operation maps
- Model validation purposes
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Motivation

• Low-grade heat to power

• Wide range of working fluids

More energy is available from waste heat than all renewable sources combined (US Department of Energy Annual Energy Survey, 2006)
Lost work in an ORC System

The expander accounts for the second highest exergy loss

The ORC set-up
The ORC System

External Conditions

- 20 kWth oil thermal heater
- $T_{\text{hot}} = 120 \, ^0\text{C}$ to $140 \, ^0\text{C}$
- Flowrate 1.4 l/s
- Mains water, $T_{\text{cold}} = 19 \, ^0\text{C}$
- Maximum Carnot efficiency 29.3%
The ORC System

System parts

- Rotary-vane pump (1100 RPM – 3600 RPM)
- 1 kWel scroll expander with VR = 3.5
- AC generator (via magnetic coupling)
- Brazed-plate evaporator
- Brazed-plate condenser
- R245fa
The ORC System

External Load

- Full load – 4X 150 W heat dissipating resistors
- Partial load – 2X 75 W heat dissipating resistors

Measurement: T-type thermocouples (1 °C), analogue pressure transducers (0.25%), ultrasonic flow meter
Experimental results
ORC test result – mass flow rate

- Positive correlation between mass flow rate and pump speed
ORC test result – expander electrical power

- Positive correlation between expander electrical power and pressure ratio
- The expander electrical power output quadrupled from partial load (150W) to full load (600 W)
Higher pressure ratios led to higher expander isentropic efficiencies reaching values up to ~80%.
ORC test result – cycle thermal efficiency

- Maximum ORC thermal efficiency by Carnot is: 29.3%
- \( T_{\text{hot}} = 140 \, ^\circ\text{C} \quad T_{\text{cold}} = 19 \, ^\circ\text{C} \)
- Maximum efficiency realized ~6% at full load with intermediate heat source of 130 \(^\circ\text{C}\)
ORC test result – exergy analysis (partial expander load)

- Average expander exergy loss ~15% at partial load

Cases:
1: 120 °C min $r_p$
2: 130 °C min $r_p$
3: 140 °C min $r_p$
4: 120 °C max $r_p$
5: 130 °C max $r_p$
6: 140 °C max $r_p$
ORC test result – exergy analysis (full expander load)

- Average expander exergy loss ~20% at full load
Conclusions and outlook

- Performance characteristics of scroll expander can be mapped by changes in evaporating temperature, pump speed and expander load.

- Over a range of heat-source temperatures (120 – 140 °C), higher pressure ratios led to higher expander outputs, with expander efficiencies reaching values up to ~80%.

- Overall ORC system thermal efficiencies of up to 6% were attained at an intermediate heat-source temperature (130 °C), pressure ratio (3.6) and at full generator load.

- An exergy analysis showed that the expander accounted for the second largest exergy destruction after the evaporator.

- Efficiency changes with reciprocating piston expanders.
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